<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question #</th>
<th>Question Reference (RFP, PWS, R-wt, etc.)</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Revised Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Page 3; Paragraph A-19</td>
<td>The awardee will be required to permit Saicos access to the most highly proprietary financial information and rates, and require the awardee to enter into an agreement with the support contractor permitting such necessary access, and establishing proper protections. This data will give an industry-leading competitor all the necessary data, not only at award but post award re-alignment, re-allocation, option year: basically throughout the life of the contract. The fact that the Contracting Office has written terms preventing unauthorized use or disclosure and a non-compete on the Enhanced Army Global Logistics Enterprise (EAGLE) Program is un-enforceable from industry awardees. The fact that this requirement places a pass award condition for bidding on the award entering an agreement establishing proper protections from Saicos is tantamount to requiring EAGLE BOA Holder to being a victim of competitor corporate espionage. It does not matter if Saicos is not bidding on EAGLE work. They bid on dozens of other procurements each year that EAGLE Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA) Holders also bid on. Saicos is directly in both BOA Holder’s competitive markets. Saicos and their personnel will know their industry competitor’s pricing and rates at any given time for years. Their personnel will come and go, and will eventually be employed at other companies who are also competitors of EAGLE BOA Holders. As such, there is no way to ensure or prevent any eventual breach of confidentiality of Saicos. Will the Government please reconsider this requirement and revise it to being voluntarily?</td>
<td>The Government intends to proceed with the role of SERCO as outlined, but understands the concerns expressed within the EAGLE BOA Holder community. By May 3, 2019 the Government will conduct a BOA Holders &quot;Agreement to Deo - EAGLE Program R3” document which details safeguards to prevent OD issues.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Revised Page 54; Paragraph 5.2.3.1.3(C)</td>
<td>The page limit for the Staffing and Management Plan is nine (9) pages. Will the Government please increase the limit to 12 pages?</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2018 Attachment 0005</td>
<td>This requirement specifically states that the offerors must provide its proposed staffing solution “in relation to the PWS requirements and the proposed workload data…” in the applicable Technical Exhibits. It goes on to note, “Inclusion of only some of the PWS paragraph’s in Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001, Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours,” was for technical evaluation purposes only “…” and that after award all PWS tasks must be performed. Further, L.5.2.1.3(C)(3)(i) specifically defines FLC1 labor positions as those identified to accomplish tasks in the workload provided in Exhibit A with no mention of the PWS. These definitions of staffing FLC1 requirements are in conflict with each other. Will the Government please clarify if the defined minimum FLC1 staffing level in this requirement for evaluation purposes is as defined or as defined in the Exhibits A to B08 as both Exhibit A and B08 Attachment 002 as requirements as outlined in the RFP?</td>
<td>Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours does not list every single detail/each required in PWS Section 5, Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 3 Hours provides the Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours required to perform of PWS Section 5 paragraphs. During contract execution, the preponderance of FLC1 Hours proposed will support the respective task/descriptions associated with the identified PWS paragraph number identified in Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours. During contract execution, it is expected offerors will mix utilizing categories to support ancillary tasks required by the PWS (e.g. mechanic performing locksmith tasks). Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 3 Hours DOES NOT take into account FLC1 or FLC2 hours required to perform all the PWS (e.g. Sections, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6) or to meet all the contract terms and conditions. See Revised Section 1.1 and Amendment 0001 of the RFP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Page 9; Paragraph 3.2.2.1</td>
<td>Exhibit A TE 1 G-005</td>
<td>PWS Paragraph 3.2.2.1 requires the contractor to provide a listing and resumes of all key personnel to the Contracting Officer within 30 days after Notice to Proceed (NTP), however, Exhibit A TE 1 G-005, &quot;Key and Specified Non-Key Personnel,* requires the same task, but within 10 days of NTP. Will the Government please clarify the timeline for this task?</td>
<td>PWS 3.2.2.1 requires a listing and resumes of all key personnel. Exhibit A TE 1 G-005 requires just a listing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Page 86; Paragraph 5.5.8</td>
<td>PWS Paragraph 5.5.8 requires HVAC services. Under which maintenance CCLIN do these services go?</td>
<td>This is an expected Offeror to have the requisite knowledge of the equipment listed on the density list to determine if HVAC services are applicable. The density list items or identification for direct to a respective CCLIN include.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Attachment 0005; Tab 1b, 2, 3 Series 00006</td>
<td>CCLIN Series 00006 reference is stated as &quot;TRN DES.” What does DES reference?</td>
<td>Department of Emergency Services (DES)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Attachment 0005</td>
<td>Will the Government please list which specific 002 and/or 008 series CCLINs are UME work?</td>
<td>All Unit Maintained Equipment workload is captured in the 00 Corps 0000 series CCLINs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2018 Attachment_0011_1 - Checklist Reference_Spread</td>
<td>The drop down boxes in columns E, F, and G do not appear to be working. Would the Government please issue the document if applicable or provide an alternative solution?</td>
<td>Revised Attachment 0011 has been incorporated into Amendment 0001 of the RFP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Revised Attachment_0002_4, Final, Page 61, 1.5.5.4.2</td>
<td>Will the Government please provide a format requirement for “Offerer_Name_Vol_5_Commitment?”</td>
<td>This is an expected Offeror to review the RFP Attachment 0002_4, Final, Page 61, 1.5.5.4.2, “Offerer_Name_Vol_5_Commitment?”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Revised Attachment_0003_0_Final, Page 60, 1.5.2.3.1.3(C)(3)(i) BOA Attachment 0002_2Staffing Labor, Md.</td>
<td>The prepopulated minimum hours for Transportation in cell ‘N13’ in Attachment 0003_0_Final, Page 60, 1.5.2.3.1.3(C)(3)(i) differs from the minimum hours stated in Para 1.5.2.3.1.3(C)(3)(i) of the RFP. Will the Government please confirm the correct minimum hours for Transportation are the ones listed in RFP 1.5.2.3.1.3(C)(3)(i)?</td>
<td>The correct number of Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Transportation is 100.656. Attachment 0002 has been updated in Amendment 0001 of the RFP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Attachment 0002, Staffing Labor Md Coll N12 does not agree with Solicitation paragraph 5.2.3.1.3(C)(3) hours of 100.656 hours or 100.656 hours nor Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours, by Functional Area. Would the government make appropriate corrections to reflect most current hours?</td>
<td>The correct number of Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Transportation is 100.656. Attachment 0002 has been updated in Amendment 0001 of the RFP.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Revised Attachment_0001_4_Final, Page 61, 1.5.5.4.2</td>
<td>Will the Government please confirm that the CCLIN data base accurately reflects both the Corps supply and R-wt Corps maintenance effort as intended?</td>
<td>The Maintenance Supervisor(s) does not align with PMO. The Maintenance Supervisor(s) should be allocated to the appropriate labor CCLIN.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Revised Attachment_0002_4, Final, Page 61, 1.5.5.4.2</td>
<td>Will the Government please confirm that the CCLIN data base accurately reflects both the Corps supply and R-wt Corps maintenance effort as intended?</td>
<td>The Maintenance Supervisor(s) does not align with PMO. The Maintenance Supervisor(s) should be allocated to the appropriate labor CCLIN.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>B08 Attachment 0005</td>
<td>PWS para 5.7.3.1.3 The contractor shall process purchase request in accordance with the following parameters: after a valid quote is received the amount of time to receive additional valid quotes before submitting a PR to the COR for validation and/or approval is based on the priority of the equipment type as set yet by the DPWH DES and MD COR as outlined below. Would the government consider adding purchasing personnel to DPWH/DES/CCLIN 204A to rectify the shortfall?</td>
<td>Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours does not list every single detail/each required in PWS Section 5. Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 3 Hours provides the Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours required to perform of PWS Section 5 paragraphs. During contract execution, the preponderance of FLC1 Hours proposed will support the respective task/descriptions associated with the identified PWS paragraph number identified in Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours. During contract execution, it is expected offerors will mix utilizing categories to support ancillary tasks required by the PWS (e.g. mechanic performing locksmith tasks). The offeror may propose additional FLC1 or FAMO staff in their staffing approach.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>B08 Attachment 0005</td>
<td>PWS para 5.7.3.1.3 The contractor shall process purchase request in accordance with the following parameters: after a valid quote is received the amount of time to receive additional valid quotes before submitting a PR to the COR for validation and/or approval is based on the priority of the equipment type as set yet by the DPWH DES and MD COR as outlined below. Would the government consider adding purchasing personnel to DPWH/DES/CCLIN 204A to rectify the shortfall?</td>
<td>Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours does not list every single detail/each required in PWS Section 5. Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 3 Hours provides the Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours required to perform of PWS Section 5 paragraphs. During contract execution, the preponderance of FLC1 Hours proposed will support the respective task/descriptions associated with the identified PWS paragraph number identified in Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours. During contract execution, it is expected offerors will mix utilizing categories to support ancillary tasks required by the PWS (e.g. mechanic performing locksmith tasks). The offeror may propose additional FLC1 or FAMO staff in their staffing approach.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>B08 Attachment 0005</td>
<td>PWS para 5.7.3.1.3 The contractor shall process purchase request in accordance with the following parameters: after a valid quote is received the amount of time to receive additional valid quotes before submitting a PR to the COR for validation and/or approval is based on the priority of the equipment type as set yet by the DPWH DES and MD COR as outlined below. Would the government consider adding purchasing personnel to DPWH/DES/CCLIN 204A to rectify the shortfall?</td>
<td>There is no conflict between the two documents. TE 1 G-005 provides the full contents to include required certifications.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Relevant Text</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>No. 32.4.7.1.1(b)(1)(iv) of the PWS is marked &quot;Reserved,&quot; and there do not appear to be tasks associated with fuel delivery points as identified in TE 5S-008.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 38 | Paragraph L.5.4.2.10.1 states that "the Offeror's detailed description must include the individual(s) (identified by position title) responsible to receive and immediately respond to the RFP, in methodology, plan to increase staffing not later than 21 days, and the hiring practices proposed. Currently, the RFP instructions state the plan to be included within the SCA, which is limited to 9 pages."
| 39 | Due to the extensive information required in the SCA and Organization Diagram, will the Government please remove the organization diagram from the page count and allow it to be submitted as a non-page counted Attachment? |
| 40 | No. Paragraph L.5.4.2.10.1 states that "the Offeror's detailed description must include the individual(s) (identified by position title) responsible to receive and immediately respond to the RFP, in methodology, plan to increase staffing not later than 21 days, and the hiring practices proposed. Currently, the RFP instructions state the plan to be included within the SCA, which is limited to 9 pages."
| 41 | The Government requires clarification on the definition of "small business." Small business participation is not limited to "small business offers only." Small business offers will be given an acceptable rating for the solicitation.
| 42 | Paragraph L.5.3.5.4(a) - L.5.3.5.4(b) Page 59- |
| 43 | Contract References_Spread B08_Attachment_0011- |
| 45 | Paragraph L.5.4.2.10.1 states that "The Offeror's detailed description must include the individual(s) (identified by position title) responsible to receive and immediately respond to the RFP, in methodology, plan to increase staffing not later than 21 days, and the hiring practices proposed. Currently, the RFP instructions state the plan to be included within the SCA, which is limited to 9 pages."
| 46 | Section M, Paragraph 5.4 Small Business Participation states this requirement applies to other than small business offers only. Small business offers will be given an acceptable rating for small business participation.
| 47 | Will the Government please consider extending the proposal submission date to 21 June, 2019, in order for offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements, and to lessen the impact of the federal holiday? |
| 48 | Will the Government please consider increasing the page limit for the SMP to 15 pages, in order for offerors to adequately provide all required SMP information, including a detailed surge plan? |
| 49 | Will the Government please increase the page limit for the SMP to 15 pages, in order for offerors to adequately provide all required SMP information, including a detailed surge plan? |
| 50 | Will the Government please consider increasing the page limit for the SMP to 15 pages, in order for offerors to adequately provide all required SMP information, including a detailed surge plan? |
| 51 | Will the Government please consider increasing the page limit for the SMP to 15 pages, in order for offerors to adequately provide all required SMP information, including a detailed surge plan? |
| 52 | Will the Government please determine whether the TE 5S-008 "Fuel Delivery to Emergency Back-Up Generators and Vehicles" is applicable to this task order? |
| 53 | Section M, Paragraph 5.4 Small Business Participation states this requirement applies to other than small business offers only. Small business offers will be given an acceptable rating for small business participation.
| 54 | Will the Government please consider extending the proposal submission date to 21 June, 2019, in order for offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements, and to lessen the impact of the federal holiday? |
| 55 | Will the Government please consider extending the proposal submission date to 21 June, 2019, in order for offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements, and to lessen the impact of the federal holiday? |
| 56 | Will the Government please consider extending the proposal submission date to 21 June, 2019, in order for offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements, and to lessen the impact of the federal holiday? |
| 57 | Will the Government please consider extending the proposal submission date to 21 June, 2019, in order for offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements, and to lessen the impact of the federal holiday? |
| 58 | Will the Government please consider extending the proposal submission date to 21 June, 2019, in order for offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements, and to lessen the impact of the federal holiday? |
| 59 | Will the Government please consider extending the proposal submission date to 21 June, 2019, in order for offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements, and to lessen the impact of the federal holiday? |
| 60 | Will the Government please consider extending the proposal submission date to 21 June, 2019, in order for offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements, and to lessen the impact of the federal holiday? |

**Additional Notes:**
- **Page 40, Exhibit Z, TE 5S-008:** "Fuel Delivery to Emergency Back-Up Generators and Vehicles" should be reviewed for applicability to the task order.
- **Section M, Paragraph 5.4 Small Business Participation:** This requirement applies to other than small business offers only. Small business offers will be given an acceptable rating for small business participation.
- **Proposal Submission Date:** The proposal submission date should be extended to 21 June, 2019, to allow offerors to update/renew Teaming Agreements and lessen the impact of the federal holiday.
- **Page Limit for SMP:** The page limit for the SMP should be increased to 15 pages to accommodate all required SMP information, including a detailed surge plan.
- **Solicitation Update:** The solicitation should be updated to reflect the extended proposal submission date and increased page limit for SMP.
### Document Content

**Page 64**

34-5.1.2.1, Page 64

- Would the Government consider adding relevant performance, as defined in M.5.2.8-hv through M.5.2.8-q(1), to the requirement for Small Business Participation and compliance in FAR 52.219-8? This would limit the review to both recent and relevant contracts for inclusion in FAR 52.219-8.

- If the offeror provides a Forward Pricing Rate Agreement (FPRA) the offeror does not need to provide a crosswalk between the historical rates and the FPRA rates. However, the rates are significantly different between the historical rates and the FPRA rates, the offeror will need to provide a narrative explanation as to why there is a significant difference (for example, what is driving those rates).

34-5.1.3.2, Page 66

- Would the offeror need to provide a crosswalk between the historical rates and the Budgetary Information? If so, the crosswalk of historical pools and bases required for indirect costs based upon FPRA, or only those rates not fully supported by budgetary information or FPRA?

35-5.1.3.2, Page 66

- Would the Government consider awarding the 3% BPAF Total Contract Value to evaluate Small Business Participation against total labor dollars?

36-9.4.1, Page 72

- Can the Government provide the itemized criteria for evaluating the STEP 2 proposal?

37-5.2.2(d), Page 75

- As Section I does not instruct the offeror to issue FAQs to their customers, please confirm that the FAQs the Government references in M.5.2.2(d) is a FAQ that may be issued by the Government, should they choose to do so.

38-Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001

- Based on the analysis of TE 1 MST 001 is 1,864 the expected non-exempt (CBA/SCA) productive man-hours to be used by Offerors?

**Page 72**

44-42

- 44
- 42
- 40
- 37
- 36
- 34
- 33

**Page 75**

- Is it the Government's intent to include these additional titles in the Attachment 0002?

- Vehicle Mechanic Specialized Fire Fighting and Emergency Equipment (FFE) (Non-Key), Motor Vehicle Mechanic Specialized MRAP Family of Vehicles (Non-Key), Field

- Is it the Government’s intent to have at least 1 Supply Technician proposed in the PMO as FFP?

- There is no CBA at Fort Hood. The SCA prescribes 1,864 production hours per

- Is it the Government’s intent to include any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx that are considered Cost Plus that meet the task description for Supply Technician.

- The offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- Only the Project Manager/Alternate Project Manager is required to have a SECRET clearance.

- The Offeror’s, and/or its Subcontractor(s)’ performance details and ratings received in Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs), as well as the FAPIIS, PPIRS, which includes both the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) and the Federal

- The Offeror’s proposed staffing/labor mix for the respective supporting labor

- The Government states: “The Offeror’s, and/or its Subcontractor(s)’ performance details and ratings received in Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs), as well as the FAPIIS, PPIRS, which includes both the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) and the Federal Performance Information Retrieval System (PFRS), which includes both the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) and the Federal Performance Information Retrieval System (PFRS), as well as the FPAS, Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS).”

- The Government states: “The Offeror’s, and/or its Subcontractor(s)’ performance details and ratings received in Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs), as well as the FAPIIS, PPIRS, which includes both the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) and the Federal Performance Information Retrieval System (PFRS), which includes both the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) and the Federal Performance Information Retrieval System (PFRS), as well as the FPAS, Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS).”

- The Offeror must propose a narrative description of all the Key and Non-Key

- The Offeror shall provide a forward pricing rate agreement (FPRA) for each Key and Non-Key Positions.

- Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror shall provide a forward pricing rate agreement (FPRA) for each Key and Non-Key Positions.

- The Offeror shall provide a forward pricing rate agreement (FPRA) for each Key and Non-Key Positions.

- The Offeror must propose a narrative description of all the Key and Non-Key

- The Offeror shall provide a forward pricing rate agreement (FPRA) for each Key and Non-Key Positions.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Supply Technician PMO as FFP in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Transportation Coordinator FL2C in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.

- The Offeror must propose Computer Operator II in addition to any tasks specified in the Exhibit A: RFPTE TE 1 M ST 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours.xlsx.
Can Offerors fulfill the Small Business Participation Factor by meeting the minimum Small Business Goals of 39% without meeting any specific socioeconomic SB goals?  

No, Offerors must fulfill the Small Business Participation Factor by meeting the minimum Small Business Goals for each socio-economic category. The proposed plan will also be evaluated on the extent of participation for multiple socio-economic categories. In addition, the offeror must demonstrate that the offeror is not under any current approved accounting system or disclosure statement that might allow for capture of these costs outside of the labor CLINs.

Can the Government confirm there is no Collective Bargaining Agreement currently in place for this RFP?  

Yes, any costs attributable to labor including workers compensation costs shall be captured in the Offeror's direct labor rate within the labor costs CLINs. 

Can Offerors propose to cross-utilize FTSEs as Supervisor for FLCL and FLCC functions, exactly how is that to be depicted on Att 0020? The reference says to see Example 1(a), but it is not clear how to identify positions being cross-utilized.

Yes, Cross-utilizing FTSEs as Supervisor for FLCL and FLCC functions is allowable. Offerors must perform this portion of the price proposal. Several positions designated under the RFP PWS A in Attachment 0002 and 0008 Exhibit 0. FTSE 1G-1G Key Specified Non-Key Positions (08/20/2013) and 08A covered positions. Offerors may submit a proposal to contract under this Task Order RFP: No, any costs attributable to labor including workers compensation costs shall be captured in the Offeror's direct labor rate within the labor costs CLINs. 

Can the Government confirm the contractor will be entitled to an adjustment under FAR 52.220-43 after paying for any future rejections in the SCA Wage Determinations?  

Yes, Offerors are required to submit Individual Social Security Number (SSN) in a TIF file format in accordance with FAR 52.219-5 for the award of this contract. Offerors are required to submit Individual Social Security Number (SSN) in a TIF file format in accordance with FAR 52.219-5 for the award of this contract.

Can the contractor "dual-hat" the key supervisor positions: Maintenance Supervisor (UME) (KEY) and Transportation Motor Pool Supervisor (KEY)?

No, any costs attributable to labor including workers compensation costs shall be captured in the Offeror's direct labor rate within the labor costs CLINs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Original Text</th>
<th>Extracted Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Government cannot discuss the specifics of proposal evaluations.</td>
<td>Section A.19 (3) No response, answered above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Would the Government consider allowing an 11x17 page for the organizational chart to count as a single page in the SMP, given the high level of detail requested in the information gathered from being shared with the other business units within their organization. Release of this type of sensitive information may have an adverse potential impact on the Procurement Integrity Act?</td>
<td>The Government does not list every position required to successfully complete this mission. It is the responsibility of the Offeror to present an acceptable staffing strategy that will be evaluated against the mission requirements. The TE 1 M S T 001 clearly states in paragraph 6. This list is intended to identify positions the government considers vital to the success of the effort and not represented as a complete staffing solution. Offerors may choose to propose additional positions. Specified Non-Key Positions may require more than one FTE per position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Question: Will the Government please consider increasing the page limitation count for SMP from 9 pages to 15 pages?</td>
<td>The property book requirements are captured in the FY 19 TE 1 M S T 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours, Supply Tab, Columns C and D. Offerors are required to identify each of the functional areas (e.g. maintenance, supply and transportation) which the contractor performed under the contract reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Reference: M5.2.8(2)(i) In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to the Ft. Hood Task Order, the Annual Average Dollar Value (AADV) must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience identified below: Maintenance: Offeror Reference - $5M average annually; Subcontractor performing 20% or more of the total estimated dollar value, as found in Column F of the Offerors Attachment 0003, Tab 2: Training Matrix - $1.5M average annually.</td>
<td>No response, answered above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Reference: M5.2.8(2)(i) In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to the Ft. Hood Task Order, the Annual Average Dollar Value (AADV) must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience identified below: Maintenance: Offeror Reference - $5M average annually; Subcontractor performing 20% or more of the total estimated dollar value, as found in Column F of the Offerors Attachment 0003, Tab 2: Training Matrix - $1.5M average annually.</td>
<td>No, Offerors are required to identify each of the functional areas (e.g. maintenance, supply and transportation) which the contractor performed under the contract reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>The Government does not list every position required to successfully complete this mission. It is the responsibility of the Offeror to present an acceptable staffing strategy that will be evaluated against the mission requirements. The TE 1 M S T 001 clearly states in paragraph 6. This list is intended to identify positions the government considers vital to the success of the effort and not represented as a complete staffing solution. Offerors may choose to propose additional positions. Specified Non-Key Positions may require more than one FTE per position.</td>
<td>To determine relevancy in accordance with M.5.2.8, a contract reference's AADV is compared to either the minimum Prime or Subcontractor AADV (as listed in M.5.2.8) but not both. If an offeror performed as a Prime, the contract reference will be compared only to the Prime minimum AADV listed in M.5.2.8. A contract reference that contains all three functional areas must meet or exceed the minimum AADV of $20 million listed in M.5.2.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Reference: M5.2.8(2)(i) In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to the Ft. Hood Task Order, the Annual Average Dollar Value (AADV) must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience identified below: Maintenance: Offeror Reference - $5M average annually; Subcontractor performing 20% or more of the total estimated dollar value, as found in Column F of the Offerors Attachment 0003, Tab 2: Training Matrix - $1.5M average annually.</td>
<td>No, Offerors are required to identify each of the functional areas (e.g. maintenance, supply and transportation) which the contractor performed under the contract reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Reference: M5.2.8(2)(i) In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to the Ft. Hood Task Order, the Annual Average Dollar Value (AADV) must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience identified below: Maintenance: Offeror Reference - $5M average annually; Subcontractor performing 20% or more of the total estimated dollar value, as found in Column F of the Offerors Attachment 0003, Tab 2: Training Matrix - $1.5M average annually.</td>
<td>No, Offerors are required to identify each of the functional areas (e.g. maintenance, supply and transportation) which the contractor performed under the contract reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Question: Will the Government please consider increasing the page limitation count for SMP from 9 pages to 15 pages?</td>
<td>The property book requirements are captured in the FY 19 TE 1 M S T 001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours, Supply Tab, Columns C and D. Offerors are required to identify each of the functional areas (e.g. maintenance, supply and transportation) which the contractor performed under the contract reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Reference: M5.2.8(2)(i) In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to the Ft. Hood Task Order, the Annual Average Dollar Value (AADV) must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience identified below: Maintenance: Offeror Reference - $5M average annually; Subcontractor performing 20% or more of the total estimated dollar value, as found in Column F of the Offerors Attachment 0003, Tab 2: Training Matrix - $1.5M average annually.</td>
<td>No, Offerors are required to identify each of the functional areas (e.g. maintenance, supply and transportation) which the contractor performed under the contract reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Would the Government provide Attachment 0012?</td>
<td>No response, answered above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
81 Section L

Question K1: If an offeror provides a Contract or Task Order where all three functional areas (Maintenance, Supply, and Transportation), but only two of the three areas meet the minimum dollar thresholds, should the offeror list the PWS paragraphs for the specific area which falls short of the dollar threshold?

No, Offerors cannot reduce the scope of work performed in order to meet lower AADV thresholds.

83 L.1.1.4 The instructions for "Offeror’s Name, Vol_1, Government" do not include the File Format. Will the Government confirm that the File Format is either Word or PDF as typically the instruction in EAGLE TOs?

No response, answered with Question 9 above.

85 W52 2.2.5.3 PWS 2.2.5.3 states in part, "Contractor employees shall be supervised by the Contractor’s Supervisors." The Supervisors are not listed as Government-managed personal or specified non-key personnel. Question K1: Is the Government's expectation that offerors include an ASP Supervisor in their organ chart and staffing approach?

The Government does not list every position required to successfully complete this mission. It is the responsibility of the Offeror to present an acceptable staffing strategy that will be evaluated against the mission requirements. The TE 1-G-005 clearly states in paragraph 6. This list is intended to identify positions the government considers vital to the success of the effort and not represented as a complete staffing solution; offerors may choose to propose additional positions. Specified Non-Key Positions may require more than one FTE per position.

87 L.1.1.4 Reference L.1.1 which states: It is the Government's intent to award a single combination Cost-Plus Fixed Fee (CPFF) contract. Will the offeror provide a PWS/SOW/SSO for CLIN 3DA? Transition in CLIN 0001 supports Army Sustainment Command's mission and transition in CLIN 0007 supports AF Contracting Office.

No response, answered with Question 9 above.

98 Attachment 0002: Staffing labor mix of USD AS/AR Transition in CLIN 0001 supports Army Sustainment Command's mission and transition in CLIN 0007 supports AF Contracting Office.

Yes, the Transportation Coordinator is a Specified Non-Key Position. See the revised Amendment 1-G-005 clearly states in paragraph 6. This list is intended to identify positions the government considers vital to the success of the effort and not represented as a complete staffing solution; offerors may choose to propose additional positions. Specified Non-Key Positions may require more than one FTE per position.

99 Attachment 0011: The Transportation Coordinator was removed from Attachment 0002 but still exists in NAVTE XI-1-G-005 Key Specified Non-Key Positions 06102019.xls. Please confirm that offerors are expected to provide the Transportation Coordinator.

Yes, the Transportation Coordinator is a Specified Non-Key Position. See the revised Amendment 1-G-005 clearly states in paragraph 6. This list is intended to identify positions the government considers vital to the success of the effort and not represented as a complete staffing solution; offerors may choose to propose additional positions. Specified Non-Key Positions may require more than one FTE per position.

100 Section L, Paragraph L.5.2.13 [3] states: "Additional narrative explanations in support of how indirect rates are developed shall be submitted in MS Word or Adobe PDF format."

Yes, unless otherwise prohibited in the RFP.
The Government states that cell I37 of the Cost_Price_Abstract_Tab_1a must match cell F9 of Teaming_Matrix_Tab_2; however, the Government has locked the formatting in both cells. Cell I37 shows two decimal places, but cell F9 does not show any decimal places. While the cells add up to the same number, they do not appear to be the same due to the formatting. It is acceptable for the offeror to match the two cells to the dollar (rather than the decimal). Mathematically, both cells add up to the same number to the decimal point; however, we cannot change your locked fields so they both display the number to the decimal point.

See the revised Attachment 005 incorporated as part of Amendment 0003.

Should the RFP reference “recent and relevant” past performance (in accordance with L.5.3.4)? L.5.3.5.1 only mentions recent past performance and not relevant past performance. Is the Government’s intent for offeror’s to provide non-relevant adverse past performance?

The RFP is correct as stated.

Amendment 002 Attachment 0002 - Staffing Labor Mix 06102019 and FHTS TS 1G-005 Key Specified Non-Key Positions 06102019

Discussion: The Government identifies the Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) Supervisor and the Ammunition Residue Yard (ARY) Delivery/Residue Supervisor as “Exempt” (Column B) within Amendment 002 Attachment 0002 - Staffing Labor Mix 06102019; however, within FHTS TS 1G-005 Key Specified Non-Key Positions 06102019 these positions include a note identifying a SCA 4% HAZMAT pay increase which would not be applicable to an Exempt labor category.

Question 1: Will the Government clarify if the Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) Supervisor and the Ammunition Residue Yard (ARY) Delivery/Residue Supervisor are Exempt or SCA?

Question 2: If the Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) Supervisor and the Ammunition Residue Yard (ARY) Delivery/Residue Supervisor are SCA, will the Government clarify the applicable SCA code to each respective category?

The Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) Supervisor and the Ammunition Residue Yard (ARY) Delivery/Residue Supervisor are Exempt positions. The HAZMAT identification is to identify the environment that the position will be working. Hazard pay as defined in the SCA does not apply to EXEMPT positions.

Amendment 2 identifies the LRC Maintenance Supervisor (KEY) as a cost reimbursable position. Since this individual supervises all maintenance activities to some extent, pricing this accurately and consistently would require allocating this single position across 27 different PWS sections/subCLIN combinations. Alternatively, to ease proposal preparation and evaluation, would it be acceptable to do any or all of the following (with the understanding that the cost could be reallocated among CLINs if required after contract award):

1. Include the LRC Maintenance Supervisor under a single PWS/subCLIN for cost estimating purposes, identifying this in the technical proposal;
2. Include the LRC Maintenance Supervisor under a single PWS section, allocating the position among CLINs applicable to that PWS section; or
3. Include the LRC Maintenance Supervisor under a single subCLIN section, allocating the position among PWS sections applicable to that subCLIN.

As defined in L.5.2.1.1(c)(6), the offerors should allocate proposed hours for each Shop or PWS requirement to provide a complete picture of their staffing solution.